

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Related Art FIGs. 2 and 3F (hereinafter "APAF") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,162,933 (hereinafter "Kakuda"). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection as being based upon Applicant's Related Art and a reference that neither teaches nor suggests the novel combination of features recited by independent claim 1, and hence dependent claims 2-14.

With respect to independent claim 1, Applicant respectfully submits that neither of APAF nor Kakuda disclose a claimed combination comprising at least feature of "a plurality of thin film transistors formed on the substrate adjacent to intersections of the gate lines and the data lines, each thin film transistor including a gate electrode, a gate insulation layer, an active layer, an ohmic contact layer, a source electrode, and a drain electrode, the source electrode extended from each of the data lines and overlapping a portion of the gate electrode" and "wherein the source electrode is positioned between the ohmic contact layer and the metal layer."

The Office Action admits that Applicant's Related Art FIGs. 2 and 3F discloses all the features of claim 1, "except the metal layer formed on an entire surface of each of the data line and the source electrode." Accordingly, the Office Action relies upon Kakuda for allegedly showing "an LCD device having a data line 11 with a metal layer formed on the entire surface." In addition, the Office Action alleges that "a source electrode (22) extends from the data line (col. 4, lines 50-53) and is covered with a metal layer (11b in fig. 4). Applicant respectfully disagrees.

In figure 4 of Kakuda, a semiconductor layer (24) should be formed on a portion of the source electrode (22). The semiconductor layer (24) should bridge across a gap between the source and drain electrodes 22 and 23 (col. 4, lines 53-55). Accordingly, it is impossible for the metal layer (11b) to be formed on an entire surface of the source electrode (22).

## CONCLUSION

DB1/62434617.1

**EXCEPT** for issue fees payable under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18, the Commissioner is hereby authorized by this paper to charge any additional fees during the entire pendency of this application including fees due under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required, including any required extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0310. This paragraph is intended to be a **CONSTRUCTIVE PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME** in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(3).

Respectfully submitted,

**Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP**

Dated: December 30, 2008

By: Mary Jane Boswell  
Mary Jane Boswell, Reg. No. 33,652

**Customer No. 009629**

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP  
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Tel: 202-739-3000  
Fax: 202-739-3001